

## Compete or cooperate?

# Protocooperation in catching large prey may be the driver of gregarism in cnidarian polyps

Student: **Chiara Gregorin**

Supervisor: Prof. **Stefania Puce**, Prof. **Luigi Musco**, Dr. **Tomas Vega Fernández**

Laboratorio di Zoologia, DiSVA

### 1: Study of the **learning abilities** in *Aurelia coerulea* polyps, focusing on the **protocooperative behaviour** vs. suspension-feeding

**Hypothesis:** Polyps are able to remember protocooperative behaviour (characterized by strong feeding reactions – FM behaviour) and learn to play it following a smell stimulus (“Large prey juice”)

**3 PREY ITEMS:** 1. Large prey, *Syllis prolifera* (S); 2. Small prey, *Brachionus plicatilis* (B); 3. Control food, *Artemia salina* (A). **Water flow (wf)** treatments to test the mechanical injection of the homogenates. 45-ml glass capsules, n=5, FSW (0.22 µm), 16h:8h light:dark, 38 PSU, 18.0°C ± 0.5

**Methods**

- 45-ml glass capsules, FSW (0.22 µm), 16h:8h light:dark, 38 PSU, 18.0°C ± 0.5, 5 polyps/capsule (n=5)
- 1 *S. prolifera* 9-11 mm
- 35 µl *A. salina* overcrowded culture
- 20 ml *B. plicatilis* culture (30 ind./ml ± 14.3)

Direct observations, report behaviors every 30 sec. for 10 minutes

**Response variables:**

- Reference Code for Behaviors (8 categories)
- Number of Tentacles Contractions and rapid Mouth Movements (TC/MM), proxy for feeding reaction

**Experimental design**

Last Meal	Group S (20 Experimental Units)	Group B (20 E.U.)	Group A (20 E.U.)	Control (5 E.U.)
After complete digestion	alive <i>Syllis prolifera</i>	alive <i>Brachionus plicatilis</i>	alive <i>Artemia salina</i>	alive <i>A. salina</i>
Prey HOMOGENATE	Water flow (S-wf)	Water flow (S-wf)	Water flow (S-wf)	No Food or Homogenates Control
	5 R, 5 R, 5 R, 5 R	5 R, 5 R, 5 R, 5 R	5 R, 5 R, 5 R, 5 R	5 R
	S, B, A	S, B, A	S, B, A	

**Results**

**TC/MM**

The n° of TC/MM, and thus, the feeding reactions do not vary between control and water flow treatments (p>0.05). *S. prolifera* homogenate triggers significantly different reactions (see table up) in polyps who received alive *S. prolifera* as last meal.

Treatments	P value	Significance level
S-s: S-a	0.0000149	***
S-s: S-b	0.0000005	***
S-s: B-a	0.0000011	***
S-s: B-b	0.0000001	***
S-s: A-a	0.0000009	***
S-s: A-b	0.0000043	***
S-s: A-a	0.0000004	***
S-s: A-b	0.0001117	***

**Ethograms**

The **FM behaviour** (Feeding, rapid Movements) is the most performed in the S-s treatment, confirming the TC/MM results and the stronger feeding reactions. This suggest that the polyps that received the polychaete as last meal, react accordingly to the polychaete's homogenate (the prey “meat juice”).

### 2: Evaluation of **benefits of protocooperation** in terms of **individual fitness** of *A. coerulea* polyps, three diets

**Experimental questions:** What is the impact of the large prey predation on the reproduction success, health and growth of cnidarian polyps? Does the “large prey” diet encourage polyps to get closer and to form aggregates?

**DIETS:** 1. Large prey, *Syllis prolifera*; 2. Small prey, *Artemia salina* and 3: Mix, n=8, 100 ml glass capsules, FSW (0.22 µm), 16h:8h light:dark, 38 PSU, 18.0°C ± 0.5

**Response variables:**

- Mouth Disc Diameter (MDD) → **INDIVIDUAL GROWTH**
- Distance between polyps → **AGGREGATION LEVEL**
- N° of buds/newborns → **ASEXUAL REPRODUCTION**
- Health Scale (0=dead; 0.5=degenerated but possible recovery; 1=healthy) → **HEALTH STATUS**

**Photos Processing:**

**PRELIMINARY RESULTS:** basing on the same amount of food (d.w. – mg), the mixed diet led to increase of asexual reproduction and individual growth. The distance between polyps slightly decreased with the “only worm” diet, that did not lead to increased MDD

**Statistical Analyses:** R Studio, StatSoft

### 3: Predation success in relation to **protocooperation**: field work and lab experiments

**Experimental questions:** What is the extent of protocooperation? Is there any difference in the predation success of large preys in relation to the population density? **Hypothesis:** high population density promotes protocooperation and predation of large food items, thus overcoming spatial competition between conspecifics

**FIELD WORK**

*Pelagia noctiluca* being captured by several *Parazoanthus axinellae* polyps – Ischia, Jan 2022; Detail of polyps holding jellyfish's oral arms

*Aequorea* sp. being captured by *Tubastraea cf. micranthus* polyps – Red Sea, Dec 2021.

**LABORATORY EXPERIMENTS**

Jellyfish = **LARGE PREY!**

**METHODS:** underwater photography and video recording, time-lapse. **DATA ANALYSIS:** Imaging softwares: Evaluation of population density (i.e. distance between polyps), size of polyps (Mouth disc diameter, length of calyx), prey-predator size ratio, time from capture to prey escape/ingestion

**METHODS:** Circular tanks, manipulation of polyps on the walls to vary the population density, evaluate the **predation success** in relation to density

**EXP. DESIGN:** Three aggregation levels, n=12: A. max: 4 groups of 3 polyps closely aggregated; B. intermediate: 4 groups of polyps less aggregated than in A.; C. no groups, polyps are equally distant to each other

### Planning of activities: Laboratory, Field work, Data analysis, Writing

Activities	Second and Third Year Time Schedule																							
	Second Year (2021-22)												Third Year (2022-23)											
	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D	J	F	M	A	M	J	J	A	S	O	N	D				
1. Learning Experiment _ Writing of manuscript																								
2. Fitness Experiment _ Data processing, statistical analysis, writing																								
3. Protocooperation success_ Laboratory experiments																								
3. Protocooperation success_ Field Work																								
3. Data Processing, Statistical analyses, writing																								
Writing PhD Thesis																								

### PhD curriculum publications:

- Gregorin C., Musco, L., Puce, S., *in press*. Protocooperation in *Tubastraea cf. micranthus* to catch planktonic large prey. *Marine Biodiversity*.
- Gregorin C., et al. - *in preparation*. Do cnidarian polyps remember about their last meal? The protocooperative behaviours in response to the large prey homogenate.

### Extra - PhD curriculum publications

- Roveta C., et al., 2022. Single and combined effects of two trace elements (Cd and Cu) on the asexual reproduction of *Aurelia* sp. polyps. *Aquatic Ecology*, 1-7.
- Roveta C., et al., 2021. Biomonitoring of heavy metals: the unexplored role of marine sessile taxa. *Applied Sciences* 11 (2), 580.
- Gregorin C., et al., 2021. Assessing the ecotoxicity of copper and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: Comparison of effects on *Paracentrotus lividus* and *Botryllus schlosseri*, as alternative bioassay methods. *Water* 13 (5), 711
- Gregorin C., et al., 2020. Behavioural Responses of the Colonial Sea Squirt *Botrylloides violaceus* Oka to Suspended Food Micro-Particles in Laboratory Cultures. *Journal of Marine Science and Engineering* 8 (12), 1021.